

PROCEDURE FOR THE MID-TERM EVALUATION CONDUCTED BY THE COMMITTEE

§ 1.

The committee conducting the mid-term evaluation shall conduct the evaluation according to the procedure referred to in §§ 2 – 4.

§ 2.

1. The committee shall determine whether the doctoral student is conducting research in accordance with the submitted research plan, including any amendments, and in particular with the schedule for the preparation of the doctoral dissertation (Yes or No).
2. Should the research be consistent with the submitted research plan (Yes), the evaluation result shall be positive and the committee shall prepare a justification for the evaluation. Should the research not be consistent (No), the committee shall establish the matters referred to in § 3.

§ 3.

1. The committee shall establish whether the provided clarification of the reasons for the failure to implement the research plan is duly substantiated and documented and whether the proposed remedial action is sufficient to ensure the implementation of the plan (Yes or No).
2. Should it be established that the provided clarification is duly substantiated and documented, and that the proposed remedial action shall ensure the implementation of the plan (Yes), the committee shall establish the matters referred to in § 4.
3. Should it be established that the provided clarification is not duly substantiated or documented, or that the proposed remedial action is not sufficient to ensure the implementation of the plan (No), the evaluation result shall be negative and the committee shall prepare a justification for the evaluation.

§ 4.

1. The committee shall establish whether the doctoral student's progress in the implementation of the research plan allows for the completion of studies in the doctoral school (submission of the doctoral dissertation) within the deadline specified in the research plan (Yes or No).
2. Should it be established that the doctoral student's progress in the implementation of the research plan allows for the submission of the doctoral dissertation within the deadline specified in the plan (Yes), the evaluation result shall be positive and the committee shall prepare a justification for the evaluation.
3. Should it be established that the doctoral student's progress in the implementation of the research plan does not allow for the submission of the doctoral dissertation

within the deadline specified in the plan (No), the evaluation result shall be negative and the committee shall prepare a justification for the evaluation.

§ 5.

The procedure for conducting the mid-term evaluation referred to in §§ 2 – 4 is represented in the following diagram:



Procedure for conducting the mid-term evaluation

